(ग) शेष राशि कब तक जारी कर दी जाएगी; और

(घ) इस संबंध में विलम्ब किए जाने के क्या कारण हैं और इसे नियमित करने के लिए क्या कदम उठाए जा रहे हैं?

रेल, संसदीय कार्य, योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वयन मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री राम नाईक): (क) से (घ) सांसद स्थानीय क्षेत्र विकास योजना (एमपीलैड्स) से संबंधित निधियों का आबंटन वित्तीय वर्ष के आधार पर किया जाता है। एमपीलैड्स संबंधी मार्गदर्शी सिद्धांतों के अनुसार इस योजना के अंतर्गत निधियों का अवमोचन निर्माण कार्यों की वास्तविक और वित्तीय प्रगति को देखते हुए दो किश्तों में किया जाता है। तदनुसार वर्ष 1997-98 के लिए यह निर्णय लिया गया था कि जिन संसद सदस्यों के खाते में अस्वीकृत बकाया राशि 50 लाख रुपए से कम हो, उनके लिए निधियां अवमोचित कर दी जाएं। 790 करोड़ रुपए के बजट प्रावधान में से 483.5 करोड़ रुपए की राशि वर्ष 1997-98 के लिए पहली और दूसरी किश्त के रूप में जारी कर दी गई थी। वर्ष 1997-98 के लिए शेष बची हुई राशि उपर्युक्त मानदंड के अनुसार पात्र संसद सदस्यों के नाम अवमुक्त कर दी जाएगी।

Indentification of Family as below poverty lines

1009. SHRIMATI MALTI SHARMA: PROF. RAM KAPSE:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) the present monetary limit for identifying the family as Below Poverty Line, and when this limit was fixed;

(b) whether Government propose to enhance this monetary limit in view of the changed economic conditions;

(c) the proposed limit in terms of annual income for the purpsoe; and

(d) the State-wise details of the families 'below poverty line' during last three years in accordance with the then prevailing monetary limits prescribed for 'Below Poverty Line'?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS, PRALIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI RAM NAIK): (a) to (c) The poverty line at the national level is expressed in terms of monthly per capita consumer expenditure of Rs. 49.09 in rural areas and Rs. 56.64 in urban areas in 1973-74. These are disaggragated into state-specific pvoerty lines on the basis of state-wise price indices and inter-state prcie differential. The pvoerty lines are continuosly updated for change in the price rise. The state-specific pvoerty lines in terms of monthly per capita consumption expenditure in rural and urban areas for the year 1993-94 are given in the Annexure. [*See* Appendix 184, Annexure No. 32]

(d) The Planning Commission estimates the number and percentage of people living below the poverty line in different states and at national level on the basis of quinquennial National Sample Survey (NSS) data on consumer expenditure. The latest estiamtes of state-wise pvoerty are based on the NSS Consumer Expenditure data of 1993-94 (50th Round of NSS). As such, the estiamtes of poverty for the last three years are not available. The state-wise estimates of poverty for the year 1993-94 are enclosed in Annexure-II. [*See* Appendix 184 Annexure No. 32].

Review of 20 Point Economic Programme

1010. SHRI RAHASBIHARI BARIK: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have reviewed the implementation of the 20 Point Economic Programme in different states;

(b) If so, the details thereof during Eighth Five Year Plan, state-wise; and

(c) the details, of the plan and programme drawn-up in that regard for Ninth Five Year Plan?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS, PARLIAMENTARY AFAIRS AND PLANNING AND PROGRAMME

IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI RAM NAIK): (a) and (b) Monitoring the progress of implementation of 20-Point Programme-86 is an on-going exercise. The ranking of the states, based on the performance of select monthly monitored items, is anexed as statement (*See* below). The details regarding the progress made in the implementation of the 20-Point Programme-1998 during the Eighth Plan period with reference to the items that are monitored on a monthly basis are available in the Annual Progress Reports of 20-Point Programme-1998 for the period 1992-93 to 1996-97. These published documents are also available in the Parliament Library.

(c) The details regarding the monitoring of the 20-Point Programme during the Ninth Five Year Plan have not been finalised.

Statement

Ranking of States on the Performance of TPP' 86 for 1992-93 to 1996-97

S. No.	States/UTS	92-93	93-94	94-95	95-96	96-97
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1	6	8	4	7
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	18	23	15	16	9
3.	Assam	22	22	20	23	25
4.	Bihar	14	19	21	21	26
5.	Delhi*	—	—	—	20	24
6.	Goa	16	18	18	24	21
7.	Gujarat	3	2	5	8	1
8.	Haryana	11	16	15	17	20
9.	Himachal Pradesh	13	11	9	11	1
10.	J & K	25	25	23	14	19
11.	Karnataka	8	8	10	17	7
12.	Kerala	20	2	7	13	14
13.	M.P.	9	4	5	10	9
14.	Maharashtra	16	10	3	7	14
15.	Manipur	23	21	22	25	23
16.	Meghalaya	21	20	24	26	16
17.	Mizoram	9	13	12	12	16
18.	Nagaland	24	24	25	27	27
19.	Orissa	12	8	11	4	11
20.	Pondichery*	—	—	—	8	13
21.	Punjab	6	12	17	19	12
22.	Rajasthan	1	4	4	4	3
23.	Sikkim	6	14	12	3	5
24.	Tamil Nadu	3	1	1	1	4
25.	Tripura	15	14	19	14	16
26.	UP	5	7	2	1	6
27.	West Bengal	19	17	14	21	22

* Delhi and Pondichery are also ranked since 1995-96 onwards.